



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/792,285	03/04/2004	Colin N.B. Cook	2540-0703	3144
42624	7590	11/13/2007		
DAVIDSON BERQUIST JACKSON & GOWDEY LLP			EXAMINER	
4300 WILSON BLVD., 7TH FLOOR			HOANG, HIEU T	
ARLINGTON, VA 22203				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2152	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/792,285	Applicant(s) COOK ET AL.	
	Examiner Hieu T. Hoang	Art Unit 2152	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 March 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 04 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the communication filed on 03/04/2004.
2. Claims 1-12 are pending and presented for examination.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 5 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 5 is broader than claim 1 in terms of scope (claim 5 recites "only power, ground, and a physical PCI slot of the host computer" while claim 1 recites "only said host computer's power source"); therefore, it cannot be dependent on claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, the phrase "utilizing electronically" is vague, it is not clear what the applicant means by "electronically". For examining purpose, the phrase will be interpreted as "utilizing" alone. Appropriate correction is required.

6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Specifically, the specification discloses that the virtual presence server utilizes "a slot and power" from the host computer ([0030]). Furthermore, it is clearly seen that the virtual presence server (the PCI card) also captures video signals from the host's video structure. However, the claim recites "utilizing electronically only said host computer's power source," which is not supported by the current disclosure. The word "only" will be disregarded in the process of examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the

applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

9. Claims 1-3 and 5-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Leigh et al. (US 2003/0088655, hereafter Leigh).

10. For claim 1, Leigh discloses a virtual presence architecture (VPA) between a host computer and a remote computer comprising:

a virtual presence server installed inside said host computer (fig. 1, [0051] lines 20-26, RMM PCI card for KVM remote access) and utilizing electronically only said host computer's power source ([0051], power line 136); and

a virtual presence client communicating with said host computer through said virtual presence server to provide a virtual presence on said remote computer (fig. 1, management computer 20 remote monitoring server S1 via a KVM card).

11. For claim 2, Leigh further discloses said virtual presence server is a PCI card installed in a PCI slot of said host computer ([0051] lines 20-26, PCI KVM card).

12. For claim 3, Leigh further discloses said virtual presence server includes a connector that connects to a video graphics card, keyboard and mouse of said host and provides connections for the corresponding devices to be connected and looped

Art Unit: 2152

through to said host (fig. 5, mouse, keyboard connections, and video controller, other device such as power button, [0007], virtual devices).

13. For claim 5, Leigh further discloses said virtual presence server uses only power, ground, and a physical PCI slot of the host computer ([0051], power inherently including ground line, PCI card).

14. For claim 6, Leigh further discloses said virtual presence server does not interfere with the processing of said host CPU ([0036], [0037], outgoing snooping is done by the card, no host CPU processing required, incoming processing (signal translation) is done by the card logic and is transferred to appropriate device via PCI bus).

15. For claim 7, Leigh discloses a virtual presence architecture (VPA) comprising:
a host computer (fig. 1, server 1);
means for establishing a virtual presence server installed inside said host (fig. 1, RMM 1); and
means for establishing a virtual presence client communicating with said host computer to provide a virtual presence solution (fig. 1, [0036], [0037], sending KVM data between said host computer and said client computer for virtual remote accessing the host computer).

16. For claim 8, Leigh discloses a method of providing virtual presence, comprising:
identifying a host computer (fig. 1, server 1);
identifying a remote computer (fig. 1, remote management computer);
installing a virtual presence server (VPS) in said host computer (fig. 1, RMM 1);
installing a virtual presence client (VPC) in said remote computer (abstract, [0067], Java enabled web page to remote control the host by KVM); and
sending data between said host computer and said client computer in order to establish a virtual presence on said host computer (fig. 1, [0036], [0037], sending KVM data between said host computer and said client computer for virtual remote accessing the host computer).

17. For claim 12, Leigh further discloses the VPC is implemented entirely in software installed on said remote computer (abstract, [0067], Java enabled web page to remote control the host by KVM).

18. Claim 9 is rejected for the same rationale as in claim 2.

19. Claim 11 is rejected for the same rationale as in claim 3.

20. Claim 10 is rejected for the same rationale as in claim 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

21. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 2152

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

22. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leigh in view of Zansky et al. (US 6,947,287, hereafter Zansky).

23. For claim 4, Leigh discloses the invention as in claim 1. Leigh does not disclose said virtual presence server (or PCI card) includes an external power connection so that it can monitor the power status of said host.

However, Zansky discloses a PCI card includes an external power connection so that it can monitor the power status of said host (fig. 4, col. 4 lines 16-41, a PCI card for monitoring power level of a power supply with external connection for remote monitoring)

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Leigh and Zansky to add other features such as power level monitoring to the PCI KVM card of Leigh.

Conclusion

24. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

- Dambrackas. US 2005/0069034.
- Ramsey et al. US 2003/0200345.
- Ferguson. US 2003/0184960.
- Ferguson et al. US 2003/0126323.
- Li. US 6,672,896.
- Policard. US 6,578,140.
- Fung. US 2003/0200473.


25. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hieu T. Hoang whose telephone number is 571-270-1253. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 8 a.m.-5 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit can be reached on 571-272-3913. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2152

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HH



BUNJOB JAROENCHONWANIT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

11/9/17